Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/727,253	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc S. Zimmer	1712	

All Participants:

(1) *Marc S. Zimmer*.

(2) *Pat Scaduto*.

Status of Application: *abandoned*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *14 March 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was contacted because no reply had been filed within the statutory six month period following the mailing of a first Office action. Applicant indicated that it had been their intention to allow the case to go abandoned by way of non-response.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)